Shawn M. Lindsay, OSB 02069 ShawnLindsay@MHGM.com MARKOWITZ, HERBOLD, GLADE & MEHLHAF, P.C. Suite 3000 Pacwest Center 1211 S.W. Fifth Avenue Portland, OR 97204-3730 Tel: (503) 295-3085

Fax: (503) 295-3085 Fax: (503) 323-9105

Of Attorneys for Defendant Anova Food, Inc.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

KING TUNA, INC., a California corporation,

No. 07-6191-TC

Plaintiff.

Defendant Anova Food, Inc.'s MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND IMPROPER VENUE

v.

ANOVA FOOD, INC., a Georgia corporation,

**Pursuant to Fed. R.** Civ. P. 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(3)

Defendant.

(Request for Oral Argument)

### L.R. 7.1(a) CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to LR 7.1(a), on August 31, 2007, Shawn M. Lindsay, counsel for defendant, spoke with plaintiff's counsel, Michelle Ing, and requested that plaintiff dismiss its claims against defendant for lack of personal jurisdiction and venue. The parties made a good faith effort but were unable to resolve the dispute.

#### **MOTION**

Defendant Anova Food, Inc. moves pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(3) to dismiss all of plaintiff's claims against it, specifically plaintiff's Lanham Act and

# Page 1 - DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND IMPROPER VENUE

Oregon UTPA claims, for lack of personal jurisdiction and lack of venue. Anova has filed a similar motion in Tuna Processors, Inc. v. Anova Food, Inc., United States District Court Case No. C7-6192 before Judge Aiken to dismiss plaintiff's patent infringement claims. In the interests of efficiency and consistency, Anova respectfully requests that both motions be heard and decided at the same time.

Defendant is a Georgia corporation with its principal place of business in Tampa, Florida. Defendant has no officers, employees, distributors, manufacturing facilities, offices or any assets in Oregon. Defendant does not actively advertise in Oregon, it does not directly sell any products in Oregon, nor does it do any business in Oregon. Defendant does not purposefully direct any of its activities at either Oregon or her residents, nor has defendant otherwise purposefully availed itself of the benefits and protections of the laws of Oregon. In short, defendant does not have the Constitutionally-mandated "minimum contacts" with Oregon that will support the exercise of personal jurisdiction over defendant consistent with Due Process. Accordingly, plaintiff's claims against defendant should be dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction and venue.

This motion is supported by defendant's Memorandum; the Declaration of Shawn M. Lindsay in Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction and Venue; and the Declaration of Doug Brinsmade in Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction and Venue filed contemporaneously herewith.

DATED this 10th day of September, 2007.

MARKOWITZ, HERBOLD, GLADE & MEHLHAF, P.C.

/s/ Shawn M. Lindsay By:

> Shawn M. Lindsay, OSB #02069 (503) 295-3085

Of Attorneys for Defendant

KINGAN\136035

## ATTORNEY CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 10, 2007, I have made service of the foregoing **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND IMPROPER VENUE** on the party/ies listed below in the manner indicated:

Carl D. Crowell Michelle L. H. Ing David W. Sherman Crowell Ing, LLP P.O. Box 923 Salem, OR 97308-0923	U.S. Mail Facsimile Hand Delivery Overnight Courier Email Electronically via USDC CM/ECF system
DATED this 10th day of September, 20	007.
$\overline{ ext{SI}}$	/s/ Shawn M. Lindsay nawn M. Lindsay, OSB #02069

Attorney for Defendant